THE ZIEGLER SPECTRUM AND RINGEL'S QUILT OF THE A-INFINITY PLANE SINGULARITY

GENA PUNINSKI

Abstract. We describe the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum and calculate Ringel's quilt of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules over the A-infinity plane singularity.

arXiv:1606.02085v1 [math.RA] 7 Jun 2016

1. Introduction

The original objective of this paper was to describe the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum over the A_∞ plane singularity R. With a decent knowledge of finitely generated points in this topological space and Model Theory of Modules this proved to be a task of reasonable complexity. Surprisingly we found that all non-finitely generated points in this part of the Ziegler spectrum are natural ones: the integral closure $\widetilde{R}$ of R, its quotient ring Q and a generic module G.

This classification was achieved by the so-called 'interval method': instead of classifying points of this space, i.e. indecomposable pure injective modules, we calculate some intervals in the lattice of finitely generated subfunctors of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(R, -)$ from the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules to abelian groups.

If the lattice structure of such interval is known, then one can use Ziegler's result that each indecomposable pure injective module opening this interval is uniquely determined by a nontrivial filter defined by its realization. This approach resembles the method (hence the name) used by Gelfand–Ponomarev [8], and later by Ringel [17], to classify indecomposable finite dimensional modules over certain classes of finite dimensional algebras.

As for now we have to rely on classification of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules to describe the infinite part of the Ziegler spectrum. Furthermore it could be a nontrivial task to recover an indecomposable pure injective module from the pp-type it realizes, but this is quite straightforward in the example of our interest.

We completely describe the topology of the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of R, in particular prove that the Cantor–Bendixson rank of this space equals 2; and the same is the value of the m-dimension of the lattice of pp-formulae of the theory of Cohen–Macaulay modules. From this point of view the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules over R resembles the category of finite dimensional modules over tame hereditary finite dimensional algebras.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C14 (primary), 13L05, 16D50.

Key words and phrases. Cohen–Macaulay module, Ziegler spectrum, Ringel's quilt, infinite radical.

However some peculiarities were also observed. For instance one finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay module has Cantor–Bendixson rank 1, in particular is non-isolated. This module is at the end of an almost split sequence in the category of all (finitely generated or not) Cohen–Macaulay modules whose source is an infinitely generated pure injective module. Furthermore, contrary to the case of finite dimensional algebras, none of indecomposable infinitely generated pure injective Cohen–Macaulay modules is a direct summand of a direct product of finitely generated ones.

Some non-finitely generated points of the Ziegler spectrum are direct limits of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules (along a ray of irreducible morphism), so we use these points to glue the two components of the Auslander–Reiten quiver of R obtaining a 2-dimensional surface we call the Ringel quilt of R. The additional devices used in this knitting procedure are irreducible morphisms and almost split sequences with infinitely generated terms.

This gluing procedure was implemented by Ringel [19] when investigating modules over domestic string algebras and gives a new insight on the global geometric structure of the category of finite dimensional modules.

We will show that over the A_∞ plane singularity the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules can be neatly lodged on the Möbius stripe. For instance morphisms in this category amount to easily controlled walks on this surface. Using this representation we prove that the nilpotency index of the radical of this category equals $\omega + 2$.

We will show that the problem of classifying points of the Ziegler spectrum of higher dimensional A_∞ singularities R_d, $d \geq 2$ is wild. However there is a good chance to calculate the closure, in the Ziegler spectrum, of the set of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay points; but this is rather a task for future.

Many statements in this paper admit obvious generalizations, bur we decided to suppress writing them down. A general philosophy is that when one starts developing a new theory a carefully calculated example creates a better guide than general statements.

The projected audience of this paper is twofold: the experts in commutative algebra who are interested in learning methods of model theory of modules; and also people from model theory of modules who are keen to step on the well fertilized turf with a new exploring tool. It is quite difficult to make this text easily accessible to both groups, and our exposition is clearly biased: we will be quite meticulous in explaining basics of the theory of Cohen–Macaulay modules, but more sketchy on items in model theory of modules. For those the reader is referred to Mike Prest's book [14] which is not so short, but contains almost all references we need.

The author is indebted to Ivo Herzog for useful comments on preliminary versions of the paper.

2. Basics

Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to 2. In fact - see [6, Rem. 1.2.22] - the results are likely to be true also when characteristic is equal to 2, but it is difficult to find proper references. Let $R = F[[x, y]]/(x^2)$ be the factor of the power series ring by the

ideal generated by x^2, the so-called A_∞ *plane singularity* - see [5]. It is known from this paper (see also [13, Thm. 14.16]) that R has a countable Cohen–Macaulay representation type, i.e. only countably many indecomposable finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules.

We need few easily verified facts on the structure of R. Clearly R is a commutative complete local noetherian ring whose maximal ideal $\mathbf{m}$ is generated by x and y. From $x^2 = 0$ and $R/xR \cong F[[y]]$ we conclude that $xR \subset \mathbf{m}$ are the only prime ideals of R, in particular R has Krull dimension 1.

Recall that a (commutative noetherian local) ring S is said to be *Gorenstein* if the regular module S_S has a finite injective dimension; for instance R is Gorenstein. Namely note that y is a non-zero divisor in R and $R/yR \cong F[[x]]/(x^2)$. Because the socle of this ring is simple, [7, Prop. 21.5] yields that R/yR is 0-dimensional Gorenstein. Since R is local, we conclude by [4, 3.1.19 b)].

Furthermore R is clearly uniform, so is its quotient ring Q. It is easily checked that it suffices to invert y (or any nonzero divisor) to get Q. Namely $s \in R$ is a non-zero divisor iff $s \notin xR$, hence $s = f(y) + xg(y)$, where $f \neq 0$. Multiplying by a unit in $F[[y]]$ we may assume that $s = y^n + xg$, hence $s^{-1} = (y^n - xg)y^{-2n}$.

Since R is Gorenstein, it follows that Q is an indecomposable injective module. Furthermore Q/R is the injective envelope of the simple module F, hence the minimal injective cogenerator in the category of R-modules. In particular $0 \to R \to Q \to Q/R \to 0$ is the minimal injective resolution of R, therefore R has injective dimension 1.

Recall that $\widetilde{R}$ denotes the integral closure of R in Q. The following is also straightforward.

Remark 2.1. *$\widetilde{R}$ is a non-noetherian valuation ring of Krull dimension 1 with the following chain of principal ideals:*

$$\widetilde{R} \supset y\widetilde{R} \supset y^2\widetilde{R} \supset \ldots \supset xy^{-1}\widetilde{R} \supset x\widetilde{R} \supset xy\widetilde{R} \supset \ldots .$$

In particular the ideal $\cap_n y^n\widetilde{R} = \cup_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} xy^m\mathbb{Z}$ is nilpotent and non-principal. Also $\widetilde{R}$ is not finitely generated as an R-module.

Proof. It is easily seen that $\widetilde{R} = R + xQ$, where the inclusion $\supseteq$ is obvious, since every element of xQ is nilpotent.

As above (up to a multiplicative unit) every element from $\widetilde{R}\setminus xQ$ is of the form $r = y^n + xg(y)$. Furthermore $r = y^n(1 + xy^{-n}g)$, where the last factor is a unit in $\widetilde{R}$. Thus the principal ideal generated by r equals $y^n\widetilde{R}$.

Similarly every cyclic $\widetilde{R}$-submodule of xQ is generated by xy^m for some integer m. □

Recall, see [23, p. 1], a definition of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. Let S be a commutative noetherian local ring of Krull dimension d whose residue field is F. An S-module N is said to be *maximal Cohen–Macaulay*, CM for short, if $\mathrm{Ext}^i(F, N) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < d$. We take this as a definition even when N is not finitely generated.

In our case this boils down to the following.

Remark 2.2. *An R-module N is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if N has no y-torsion: $ny = 0$ for some $n \in N$ implies $n = 0$.*

Proof. Because R is 1-dimensional, N is CM iff $\mathrm{Hom}(F, N) = 0$, i.e. $nx = ny = 0$ for some $n \in N$ implies $n = 0$. Since $x^2 = 0$, this is clearly equivalent to the absence of y-torsion. □

3. Finitely generated CM-modules

In this section we will recall the classification of indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules over R. By the above description we are in the framework of Bass' ubiquity paper [3], see also comments in [13, Thm. 4.18]. For instance every indecomposable finitely generated CM-module over R is isomorphic to an ideal of R (equivalently to a finitely generated module between R and $\widetilde{R}$). One can be even more precise.

Let $I_n = (x, y^n)$, $n \geq 0$ be the ideal of R generated by x and y^n, in particular $I_0 = R$ and $I_1 = \mathbf{m}$. Further we set $I_\infty = xR$. The following is well known - see [23, Exam. 6.5] or [21].

Fact 3.1. Each indecomposable finitely generated CM-module over R is isomorphic to I_n for some $n \geq 0$, or to I_∞.

For future use we represent these modules by the following diagrams.



Furthermore the following is the Auslander–Reiten quiver of the category of finitely generated CM-modules.



Here the (left to right) irreducible morphisms $I_n \to I_{n+1}$ are given by multiplication by y; and the (right to left) irreducible morphisms $I_{n+1} \to I_n$ are inclusions. Also the arrow $I_\infty \to I_\infty$ is given by multiplication by y.

For instance each I_n, $1 \leq n < \infty$ is the source and the sink of the following AR-sequence in the category of finitely generated CM-modules.

$$0 \to I_n \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{y}} I_{n-1} \oplus I_{n+1} \xrightarrow{(y,-1)} I_n \to 0\,.$$

Here, because we consider right modules, their morphisms act on the left.

Being Gorenstein, R is a projective and injective object in the category of finitely generated CM-modules, therefore no AR-sequence starts or ends in R.

These AR-sequences and irreducible morphisms are clearly visible on the above diagrams. For instance the irreducible map $I_1 \xrightarrow{y} I_2$ amounts to dividing $x \in I_1$ by y.



Similarly the inclusion $I_1 \subset R$ is shown by completing the square on the following diagram.



It follows from the above description that the AR-quiver of R has two components, - the first contains all 'finite' modules I_n; and the second consists of I_∞. We show, on Figure 1, the extended version of this quiver which contains both components, but also includes commutativity relations between irreducible maps.



FIGURE 1.

Here the copies of R form the left (vertical) boarder of this diagram. Furthermore the line consisting of copies of I_∞ goes in southeastern direction and forms another boarder. Note that starting with a copy of R and moving in the northeastern direction along the coray $R \leftarrow I_1 \leftarrow I_2 \leftarrow \dots$ of irreducible maps we obtain I_∞ as the inverse limit (i.e. the intersection) of the corresponding inverse system.

4. Free realizations of pp-formulae and patterns

We will briefly recall some notions of model theory of modules. In the first part of this section S will denote an arbitrary commutative ring. For more explanations the reader is referred to [14, Ch. 1–4].

A *positive-primitive formula* $\varphi(v)$ in one free variable v is an existentially quantified formula $\exists\, \overline{v}\, (\overline{v}A = v\bar{b})$, where $\overline{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_k)$ is a tuple of bounded variables, A is a $k \times n$ matrix over S and $\overline{b}$ is a row of elements of S of length n. If M is an S-module and $m \in M$ we say that M *satisfies* $\varphi(m)$, written $M \models \varphi(m)$, if there exists a tuple $\overline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ of elements from M such that $\overline{m}A = m\bar{b}$.

This pp-formula claims the decidability, on m in M, of the system of linear equations given by the matrix $(A|\overline{b})$. For instance if $r \in S$ then $vr = 0$ is the *annihilator formula*, and $r \mid v \doteq \exists\, w\, (wr = v)$ is the *divisibility formula*.

Since S is commutative, the set $\varphi(M) = \{m \in M \mid M \models \varphi(m)\}$ is a submodule of M. For instance $(r \mid v)(M) = Mr$, and $(vr = 0)(M)$ is the kernel of right multiplication by r.

If φ and ψ are pp-formulae we say the φ *implies* ψ, written $\varphi \to \psi$ or $\varphi \leq \psi$, if $\varphi(M) \subseteq \psi(M)$ for any module M. These formulas are said to be *equivalent* if both $\varphi \leq \psi$ and $\psi \leq \varphi$ hold. The equivalence classes of pp-formulae form a modular lattice L of pp-formulae over S. The meet in this lattice is the conjunction of pp-formulae, and the sum is the pp-formula $(\varphi + \psi)(v) \doteq \exists\, w\, \varphi(v) \wedge \psi(v - w)$.

Each pp-formula φ has a finitely generated *free realization*, i.e. a finitely generated module M pointed at an element m such that 1) $M \models \varphi(m)$ and 2) if $M \models \psi(m)$ for some pp-formulae ψ then $\varphi \leq \psi$. For instance the pointed module (S, r) is a free realization of the divisibility formula $r \mid v$, and the module S/rS pointed at $\overline{1}$ is a free realization of the annihilator formula $vr = 0$.

Suppose (M, m) is a free realization of a pp-formula φ and (N, n) is a free realization of a pp-formula ψ. Then $\psi \leq \varphi$ iff there exists a *pointed morphism*, i.e. a morphism $f : M \to N$ of S-modules such that $f(m) = n$.

Algebraically pp-formulae in one free variable can be defined as finitely generated subfunctors of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(S, -)$ from the category of S-modules to the category of abelian groups. For instance the annihilator formula $vr = 0$ corresponds to the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(S/rS, -)$, and the divisibility formula $r \mid v$ gives rise to the functor G such that $G(M) = Mr$ for each module M.

Recall (see [14, Sect. 3.4]) that a class of S-modules $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be *definable* if it is closed with respect to direct products, direct limits and pure submodules. In fact this class consists of models of a uniquely determined first order theory T.

The notion of the lattice of pp-formulae can be relativized to any definable class. Namely for pp-formulas φ, ψ we set $\varphi \leq_T \psi$ if $\varphi(M) \subseteq \psi(M)$ for any module in $\mathcal{D}$. The corresponding lattice of pp-formulae L_T will be the factor of the lattice L of all pp-formulae. For instance, if $\mathcal{D}_M$ is the smallest definable class containing a module M, then we obtain the lattice L_M of *pp-definable submodules* of M.

The class of CM-modules over R is clearly definable, hence we obtain the theory T_{CM} of Cohen-Macaulay modules over R, and could relativize the above notions to this theory. For instance L_{CM} will denote the lattice of pp-formulae in this theory, which is a factor of the lattice of pp-formulae by a certain congruence relation. In fact it is not difficult to choose a canonical representative in each equivalence class.

Namely let φ be a pp-formula freely realized by a pair $m \in M$, where M is a finitely generated R-module. If $\overline{M}$ is a factor of M by its y-torsion submodule, it is a CM-module. Let $\overline{m}$ denote the image of m in $\overline{M}$ and let a pp-formula φ_{CM} generate the pp-type of $\overline{m}$ in $\overline{M}$. Clearly we have the implication $\varphi_{CM} \to \varphi$, and both formulas are equivalent in T_{CM}.

Thus the lattice L_{CM} of pp-formulae in T_{CM} can be defined as a lattice of pointed finitely generated CM-modules. Namely if $m \in M$ represents a pp-formula φ and $n \in N$ gives ψ, then the pair $(m, n) \in M \oplus N$ represents $\varphi + \psi$. Their conjunction $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is given by the factor of the following pushout module (K, k) by its y-torsion submodule.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (R,1) & \longrightarrow & (M,m) \\ \downarrow & & \vdots \\ (N,n) & \rightarrowtail & (K,k) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\overline{K},\overline{k}) \end{array}$$

We will often identify pp-formulas in L_{CM} with their free realizations in finitely generated CM-modules and refer to them as CM-*formulae*.

Now we consider the so-called patterns of pointed finitely generated CM-modules over R. The term is borrowed from Ringel [18, Sect. 3] where he calculates the hammock functors: the traces $\mathrm{Hom}(N, -)$ of simple regular modules N in indecomposable finite dimensional modules over tame hereditary finite dimensional algebras.

A *pointed* CM-*module* is a pair (M, m), where M is a CM-module and m is an element of M: we will often write this as $m \in M$. This pair can be also thought of as a morphism $R \to M$ sending 1 to m. A *pointed morphism* (or just morphism) of pointed modules (M, m) and (N, n) is a morphism $f : M \to N$ of R-modules such that $f(m) = n$.

Let (M, m) be an indecomposable finitely generated pointed CM-module. We will introduce a CM-*pattern* (or just pattern) P of (M, m), which is a partially ordered set, as follows. The elements of P will be equivalence classes of pointed morphisms from (M, m) to indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules.

Let $f : (M, m) \to (N, n)$ and $g : (M, m) \to (K, k)$ be pointed morphisms in P. We set $f \geq g$ if there exists a morphism $h : N \to K$ such that $h(n) = k$. Clearly this relation is reflexive and transitive. To make it anti-symmetric we factor P by the equivalence relation $f \sim g$ if $f \geq g$ and $g \geq f$.



FIGURE 2.

Usually the structure of P is quite involved. The following example of a pattern will be of crucial importance for this paper.

Proposition 4.1. *Figure 2 shows the pattern of the pointed module $x \in I_\infty$.*

Observe that this diagram is just a filter in the AR-quiver of R - see Figure 1.

Proof. Every nonzero morphism f from I_∞ to an indecomposable finitely generated CM-module N sends x, up to a multiplicative unit in $F[[y]]$, to xy^i, hence f is given by multiplication by y^i. Clearly multiplication by this unit does not change the equivalence class of f.

The remaining part is by inspection. For instance $xy \in I_2 \geq xy \in I_1$ by inclusion, and $xy \in I_2 \geq xy^2 \in I_3$ via multiplication by y. □

Thus on the diagram we see the traces of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(I_\infty, -)$ on indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules. The pattern of another pointed module $x \in R$ consists of points on Figure 2 located below (and including) this module.

If φ and ψ are pp-formulae then $[\varphi \wedge \psi, \varphi]$ denotes the corresponding interval in the lattice of pp-formulae (or some relativized version of it). We will often refer to this interval by saying 'φ over ψ'. For instance the pair of pp-formulas $\psi < \varphi$ is said to be *minimal* in a theory T if the interval $[\psi, \varphi]_T$ is simple.

Lemma 4.2. *The interval $[v = 0, vx = 0]$ in the lattice of* CM *formulae over R is distributive.*

Proof. By Remark 5.1 and similarly to [15, Prop. 4.4] it suffices to prove that, when evaluated on any indecomposable finitely generated CM module M, this interval is a chain. But this is obvious, because every cyclic submodule of $(vx = 0)(M)$ is generated by xy^i for some i, and such submodules are linearly ordered by inclusion. □

Now we are in a position to show that the diagram on Figure 2 describes the above interval.

Proposition 4.3. *1) Every* CM*-formula in the interval* $[v = 0, vx = 0]$ *is equivalent to a finite sum of marked on Figure 2 formulas.*

2) This sum is free in the following sense. If φ_i *and* ψ_j *are finite sets of marked formulae, then* $\sum_i \varphi_i$ *implies* $\sum_j \psi_j$ *if and only if for every* i *there exists* j *such that* $\varphi_i \leq \psi_j$*.*

3) The intersection of marked formulae equals the marked intersection, but this is not true for sums.

Proof. 1) Because $x \in I_\infty$ is a free realization of the annihilator formula $vx = 0$, every CM-formula ψ below $vx = 0$ corresponds to a pointed morphism from $x \in I_\infty$ to a finitely generated CM-module N. Decomposing N into a direct sum of indecomposables we conclude that ψ is equivalent to a finite sum of CM formulae ψ_j given by pointed morphisms from I_∞ to indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules.

By the description of such morphisms (x goes to xy^i) we derive that ψ_j is equivalent to a marked formula on the diagram.

2) Clearly it suffices to consider the implication $\varphi \to \psi_1 + \cdots + \psi_m$ for CM-formulas on the diagram. Let the pair (N, n) on the diagram represents φ. Evaluating on N, by uniseriality, we conclude that $\varphi(N) \subseteq \psi_j(N)$ for some j. But then φ implies ψ_j by the definition of a free realization.

3) Let φ and ψ be incomparable CM-formulae marked on the diagram. By what we have proved the CM-formula $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is equivalent to a sum of formulae on the diagram which lie below φ and ψ. But from the diagram we see that there is a unique largest element in this set, hence it must be equal to the conjunction. □

Now the CM-formulas in the interval $[v = 0, vx = 0]$ are easily visualized. For instance, the interval $x \in I_\infty$ over $xy \in I_\infty$ in L_{CM} is the following chain of order type $\omega + 1$.



In particular the pair $(x \in R) + (xy \in I_\infty)$ over $xy \in I_\infty$ is minimal in T_{CM}.

Similarly the interval $x \in I_1$ over $x \in R$ in L_{CM} is the following chain of order type $1 + \omega^*$.



Furthermore the pair $x \in I_1$ over $(x \in R) + (xy \in I_2)$ is minimal in T_{CM} and corresponds to the left almost split map $I_1 \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{y}} R \oplus I_2$. Also the pair $x \in R$ over $xy \in I_1$ is minimal in T_{CM} and corresponds to the irreducible map $R \xrightarrow{y} I_1$ in the category of finitely generated CM-modules.

Note that the whole lattice of CM-formulae over R is more complex and involves rather an unintelligent picture; for instance it is not distributive. Namely otherwise R would have a distributive lattice of ideals. Since R is local it would yield that R is a valuation domain, a contradiction.

5. The Ziegler spectrum

First we recall few more definitions from model theory of modules. A submodule M of a module N is said to be *pure* if, for every $m \in M$ and a pp-formula φ, from $N \models \varphi(m)$ it follows that $N \models \varphi(n)$; and this definition is obviously extended to embeddings of modules.

A module M is said to be *pure injective*, if it injective with respect to pure monomorphisms of modules. An equivalent requirement is that M splits any pure embedding. For instance each injective module is pure injective. Furthermore by Ringel (see [14, L. 4.2.8]) each module which is linearly compact over its endomorphism ring is pure injective. Because R is a complete noetherian ring, it is linearly compact, hence pure injective. Since linearly compactness respects extensions, each finitely generated R-module is also pure injective.

The *Ziegler spectrum* of a ring R, Zg_R, is a topological space whose points are isomorphism types of indecomposable pure injective modules. The topology on this space is given by pairs (φ/ψ) of pp-formulae. Here the open set (φ/ψ) consists of points M such that there exists $m \in M$ satisfying φ but not ψ. In this case we will say that M *opens* the corresponding interval $[\varphi \wedge \psi, \varphi]$.

It follows from Ziegler (see [14, Thm. 5.1.22]) that (φ/ψ) is a quasi-compact open set, in particular Zg_R is a quasi-compact space, which is often non-Hausdorff. More properties of Ziegler spectrum can be found in [14, Ch. 5]

Since the class of CM-modules is definable, one could talk about the theory T_{CM} of CM-modules, therefore about the closed subset of Zg_R consisting of indecomposable pure injective CM-modules, with the induced topology. We will call this topological space the CM-*part of the Ziegler spectrum*, ZCM_R; and investigating this space is the main objective of this paper. For instance each basic open set $(\varphi/\psi)_T$ is compact so as the whole space ZCM.

As we have already noticed every indecomposable finitely generated CM-module over R is a point in ZCM. Furthermore, since each CM-module over R is a union of its finitely generated submodule we obtain the following.

Remark 5.1. *The finitely generated points are dense in* ZCM.

We add more points to this list.

Remark 5.2. $\widetilde{R}$, Q *and the Laurent power series field* $G = F((y))$ *are points in* ZCM.

Here are the shapes of these modules.



Proof. Clearly all these modules are CM.

Because Q is injective and uniform it is indecomposable. Also $G = F((y))$ is annihilated by x and is injective and indecomposable as an $R/xR = F[[y]]$-module, hence pure injective over R.

Being uniform, the module $\widetilde{R}$ is indecomposable. We check that it is linearly compact, hence pure injective. Consider the following filtration of $\widetilde{R}$ considered as a submodule of Q: $0 \subset \widetilde{R}x \subset Qx \subset \widetilde{R}$. Here the module $\widetilde{R}x \cong F[[y]]$ is linearly compact so as $\widetilde{R}/Qx \cong F[[y]]$. Further the same is true for $Qx/\widetilde{R}x$ which is the Prüfer $F[[y]]$-module. Since linearly compactness respects extensions, we obtain the desired. □

Note that the filtration on $\widetilde{R}$ is given by pp-formulae, for instance $Qx = (vx = 0)(\widetilde{R})$.

Suppose that M is a pure injective module and $m \in M$. The set of all pp-formulae $\varphi(v)$ such that $m \in \varphi(M)$ is said to be a *pp-type* of m in M, written $pp_M(m)$. This set of formulae can be also described as a filter in the lattice of pp-formulae, i.e. a subset of L which is upward closed and closed with respect to finite conjunctions; hence as a filter of finitely generated subfunctors of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(R, -)$. If M is pure injective indecomposable and m is nonzero, then p is said to be *indecomposable*.

We will assign to a pp-type p its *positive part* p^+ consisting of pp-formulas in p, and its *negative part* p^- which consists of pp-formulae not in p. If $\psi < \varphi$ are pp-formulae, then p defines a *cut* on the interval $[\psi, \varphi]$ whose upper part is $p^+ \cap [\psi, \varphi]$, and lower part is the intersection of p^- with this interval. If $\varphi \in p^+$ and $\psi \in p^-$, i.e. the corresponding cut is nontrivial, then we say that p *opens* this interval.

It follows from Ziegler that an indecomposable pure injective module M is uniquely determined by the pp-type of any its nonzero element. Furthermore, see [14, Thm. 5.1.24], to recover M it suffices to know any arbitrary small piece of local information on p, i.e. a nontrivial cut defined by p on any interval of the lattice of pp-formulae. Also, see [24, Thm. 4.4], there is a useful criterion for checking when p is indecomposable. Of course all these relativizes to any theory of R-modules, in particular to the theory of CM-modules.

We claim that the modules mentioned in Remark 5.2 are the only remaining points in ZCM.

Theorem 5.3. *The modules $\widetilde{R}$, Q and G are the only non-finitely generated points in the Cohen-Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of R.*

Proof. Let N be an indecomposable pure injective CM-module over R. If N is annihilated by x, it is an indecomposable pure injective $R/xR \cong F[[y]]$-module without y-torsion. The description of the Ziegler spectrum of this valuation domain is well known - see [14, Sect. 5.2.1]. It follows



FIGURE 3.

that either $N \cong F[[y]]$ (the adic point), hence $N \cong I_\infty$; or $N \cong F((y))$ (the generic point), therefore $N \cong G$.

Thus we may assume that $Nx \neq 0$. Choose $0 \neq n \in Nx$ and let p be the pp-type of n in N. In particular p is uniquely determined by its CM-part, and the formula $vx = 0$ (even $x \mid v!$) belongs to p. It follows that p defines a nontrivial cut on the interval $[v = 0, vx = 0]$ on Figure 2. Furthermore the isomorphism type of N is uniquely determined by this cut.

By Ziegler's criterion for indecomposability and distributivity (see Lemma 4.2) we conclude that for any CM formulas $\varphi, \psi \in p^-$ marked on the diagram, their sum $\varphi + \psi$ is also not in p. Thus the indecomposable pp-type p is uniquely determined by a filter of formulas on the diagram, i.e. by an upward closed and closed with respect to conjunctions set of marked formulae (recall that the sums are free, hence hidden on the diagram).

Then either p is finitely generated (i.e. the corresponding filter is principal), hence is realized in an indecomposable finitely generated CM-module, or is generated by a line going in the southeastern direction on the diagram, or includes all nonzero CM formulae from the interval. Comparing pp-types we obtain the possibilities shown on Figure 3.

For instance the (indecomposable) pp-type p defined by the ray $(R, x) \xrightarrow{y} (I_1, xy) \xrightarrow{y} (I_2, xy^2) \xrightarrow{y} \dots$ coincides with the pp-type of $x \in \widetilde{R}$, therefore $\widetilde{R}$ is the pure injective envelope of p. Similarly we will obtain $\widetilde{R}$ as the direct limit of the parallel ray of irreducible morphisms $I_1 \xrightarrow{y} I_2 \xrightarrow{y} \dots$ starting with $x \in I_1$, where $xy^{-1} \in \widetilde{R}$ realizes the corresponding pp-type.

Further G is the direct limit of the ray of irreducible morphisms $I_\infty \xrightarrow{y} I_\infty \xrightarrow{y} \dots$, where $1 \in G$ realizes p. Also Q is the direct limit of the directed system $R \xrightarrow{y} R \xrightarrow{y} \dots$ heading downwards, where the pp-type of $x \in Q$ equals p and is critical over zero. □

To complete a description of ZCM it remains to describe the topology, i.e. to give a basis of open sets to each point of this space. We will describe this open basis as a collection of basic open sets (φ/ψ) containing this point. Evaluating we will also give a subset of the Ziegler spectrum corresponding to each open set in this basis. In fact all this can be read off the diagram.

Namely it follows from Ziegler [24, Thm. 4.9] that, if $\varphi \in p^+, \psi \in p^-$ for pp-formulae $\psi < \varphi$ and an indecomposable pp-type p, then the basis of open sets for the pure injective envelope of p can be chosen among pairs (φ'/ψ'), where $\psi \leq \psi' < \varphi' \leq \varphi$ and $\psi' \in p^-$, $\varphi' \in p^+$.

Remark 5.4. *Every finitely generated point I_n, $n < \infty$ is isolated by a minimal pair in* ZCM.

Proof. By inspection of Figure 2 using AR-sequences.

For instance I_1 is isolated by the minimal pair $x \in I_1$ over $(x \in R) + (xy \in I_2)$ coming from the corresponding left almost split map. Also R is isolated by the minimal pair $x \in R$ over $xy \in I_1$ given by an irreducible morphism (multiplication by y). □

For I_∞ one should work harder.

Lemma 5.5. *Let O_m denote the (cofinite) set of finite points I_n, $n \geq m$. The basis of open sets for I_∞ is given by sets $O_m \cup I_\infty$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. For instance I_∞ is not isolated.*

Proof. Since $x \in I_\infty$ satisfies the formula $vx = 0$, the basis of open sets for I_∞ can be chosen within the interval $[x = 0, vx = 0]$, i.e. it is visible on Figure 2. For instance $x \in I_\infty$ over $(xy \in I_\infty) + (x \in I_m)$ is such a basis.

By evaluating we see that the open set defined by this pair is $O_{m+1} \cup I_\infty$. □

Recall (see [14, p. 254]) that an indecomposable pp-type p is said to be *neg-isolated* if there a pp-formula $\psi \in p^-$ such that p is maximal among pp-types containing ψ in its negative part. If M is an indecomposable pure injective module realizing a neg-isolated pp-type p, then M is called *neg-isolated*; this notion does not depend on the choice of p. For instance from the above description it follows that I_∞ is not neg-isolated.

Now we are in a position to describe isolated points.

Corollary 5.6. *The isolated points in* ZCM *of R are exactly modules I_n, $0 \leq n < \infty$.*

Proof. Since G is the direct limit of copies of I_∞ (see Figure 3) and the space ZCM is compact, this module cannot be isolated. By a similar reason neither $\widetilde{R}$ nor Q is isolated. As we have already seen I_∞ is also non-isolated. It remains to apply Remark 5.4. □

Here we meet the first peculiarity. For finite dimensional algebras the existence of AR-sequences implies (see [14, Cor. 5.3.37]) that isolated points in the Ziegler spectrum are exactly indecomposable finite dimensional modules. The original feeling was that these finite dimensional points should correspond to finitely generated CM-points in out setting. However this is not the case, because I_∞ is finitely generated but not isolated.

Now we deal with with $\widetilde{R}$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let O_m be as in Lemma 5.5. The basis of open sets for $\widetilde{R}$ is given by sets $O_m \cup \widetilde{R}$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$.*

Proof. Consider $\widetilde{R}$ as a pointed module $x \in \widetilde{R}$, i.e. as the pure injective envelope of the pp-type p of $x \in \widetilde{R}$. From Figure 3 we conclude that a basis of open sets for this point is given by the line going from $x \in R$ in the southeastern direction, hence by pairs $xy^n \in I_n$ over $xy \in R$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. In particular this point is neg-isolated.

Evaluating this pair on ZCM we obtain the open set $O_n \cup \widetilde{R}$, as desired. □

Recall that the Cantor–Bendixson analysis on a compact topological space runs by a consecutive removal its isolated points - see [14, Sect. 5.3] how it applies to the Ziegler spectrum. This way one obtains an ordinal indexed descending chain of closed subspaces $T^{(\lambda)}$. If $T^{(\lambda)} \neq \emptyset$ and $T^{(\lambda+1)} = \emptyset$ for some ordinal λ then we say that the *Cantor–Bendixson rank*, CB-rank, of T equals λ. In this case each point $t \in T$ is assigned its CB-rank, being the smallest μ such that $t \in T^{(\mu)} \setminus T^{(\mu+1)}$.

Thus on the first step of the CB-analysis of ZCM we remove all isolated points I_n, $n < \infty$. The next level is described in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. *I_∞ and $\widetilde{R}$ are the only points in* ZCM *of Cantor–Bendixson rank* 1.

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.5, 5.7 that both points have CB-rank 1. Furthermore G is the direct limit of copies of I_∞, hence is in the closure of this point, in particular G has CB-rank at least 2.

Similarly Q is the direct limit of copies of $\widetilde{R}$, therefore its CB-rank exceeds 1. □

The next level of the Cantor–Bendixson analysis is the last.

Lemma 5.9. *The point G has* CB*-rank 2. Furthermore a basis of open sets for G is obtained from* ZCM *by removing Q and finitely many finite points I_n, $n \leq m$.*

Proof. Choosing $1 \in G$, from Figure 3 we conclude that a basis of open sets for G is given by pairs $xy^n \in I_\infty$ over $x \in I_m$, $m, n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

The remaining part is by evaluation. For instance the finite point I_k belongs to the above open set iff $k > m + n$; and $I_\infty, \widetilde{R}$ belong to any of those open sets.

We have already seen that $\mathrm{CB}(G) \geq 2$. Since the pair $x \in I_\infty$ over $x \in R$ separates G from Q we conclude that $\mathrm{CB}(G) = 2$. □

To complete the Cantor–Bendixson analysis it remains to deal with Q.

Lemma 5.10. *The point Q has* CB*-rank 2. Furthermore a basis of open sets for Q is obtained from* ZCM *by removing G and I_∞.*

Proof. Pointing Q at x from Figure 3 we see that a basis of open sets for Q is given by pp-pairs $xy^n \in R$ over $x = 0$, hence this point is critical over zero in terminology of [9].

The remaining part is by evaluation, in particular $xy \in R$ over $x = 0$ separates Q from G and I_∞. On the other hand none element in this basis separates Q from any finite point I_m, hence Q is in the closure of each I_m. □

As a result we conclude on the value of CB-rank.

Theorem 5.11. *The Cantor–Bendixson rank of the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of R equals* 2.

From the above description of the topology it follows that the only closed points of ZCM are endofinite points G and Q, i.e. points of maximal CB-rank.

Recall (see [11, Exerc. 7.10]) that over a finite dimensional algebra each pure injective module is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct product of finite dimensional modules. Here all looks differently.

Lemma 5.12. *None of the points $\widetilde{R}, Q$ and G is isomorphic to a direct summand of a direct product of finitely generated* CM*-modules.*

Proof. We will give a proof for $\widetilde{R}$, and the remaining cases are similar.

From Figure 3 we conclude that the pp-type p of $x \in \widetilde{R}$ is generated by formulas $xy^n \in I_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Suppose that $\widetilde{R}$ is realized as a direct summand of a direct product of finitely generated CM-modules M_i, $i \in I$ such that the element $m = (m_i)_{i \in I}$ realizes p. If the CM-formula φ_i generates the pp-type of m_i in M_i, then φ_i will be below each pp-formula in p. Looking at Figure 3 we see that φ_i is equivalent to the zero formula, hence $m = 0$, a contradiction. □

6. Krull–Gabriel dimension and m-dimension

In this section we will calculate the m-dimension of the lattice L_{CM} of CM-formulae over R. This is the same as the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the definable category of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules (see [14, Sect. 13.2.2]), but to avoid introducing many definitions we will not use this notion.

Suppose that L is a lattice with top and bottom. Following [14, Sect. 7.2] by induction on ordinals we define a sequence of congruence relations $\sim_\lambda$, hence factor lattices $L_\lambda = L/\sim_\lambda$. Namely let $\sim_0$ be the trivial relation, hence $L_0 = L$. On limit steps λ we define $\sim_\lambda = \cup_{\mu<\lambda} \sim_\mu$. Further, for a non-limit ordinal $\lambda + 1$, let the congruence relation on L_λ collapses intervals of finite length, and let $\sim_{\lambda+1}$ be the preimage of this relation in L. If there is an ordinal λ such that the lattice L_λ is nontrivial (i.e. contains at least two elements) and $L_{\lambda+1}$ is a trivial lattice than we define the *m-dimension* of L to be equal to λ.

We will be interested in the case when L is the lattice L_{CM} of CM-formulae over R. For instance on the first step of the m-dimension analysis the simple interval $x \in R$ over $xy \in I_1$ on Figure 3 collapses in L_1. In general, the m-dimension analysis runs parallel to the Cantor-Bendixson analysis. Namely (see [14, Sect. 5.3.2]) if, at each step of the Cantor-Bendixson analysis, each point is isolated by a minimal pair, then the lattice L_λ coincides with the lattice of pp-formulae of the CB derivative $T^{(\lambda)}_{\mathrm{CM}}$ of the theory of CM-modules.

From the analysis of the previous section it follows that this is the case for our singularity R, therefore we obtain the following.

Proposition 6.1. *The m-dimension of the lattice of* CM*-formulae over R equals* 2*.*

Recall (see [14, Sect. 6.1]) that a *ring of definable scalars* of a module M consists of biendomorphisms of M defined by pp-formulas $\varphi(v, w)$ in two free variables, where the first variable describes the domain, and the second sets the image of this map. In our case these objects are easily calculated.

Proposition 6.2. *1) The ring of definable scalars of the module I_n coincides with the overring R_n of R generated (over R) by xy^{-n}.*

2) The ring of definable scalars of I_∞ is the ring $F[[y]]$.

3) The ring of definable scalars of $\widetilde{R}$ equals $\widetilde{R}$.

4) The ring of definable scalars of G is the field $F((y))$.

5) The ring of definable scalars of Q equals Q.

Note that in each case 1)–5) the module is isomorphic to its ring of definable scalars.

Proof. We will consider only the case 1), the remaining cases are by inspection.

Because R is Gorenstein, it has a unique minimal overring which is easily checked to coincide with R_1. Now looking at the diagram for I_1 from Section 3 we see that multiplication by xy^{-1} defines a biendomorphism of I_1: each element of this module when multiplied by x is uniquely divisible by y.

Furthermore, because $I_1 = \mathbf{m}$ is isomorphic to R_1 (via the map $x \mapsto xy^{-1}$ and $y \mapsto 1$), we conclude that the ring of definable scalars of I_1 coincides with R_1.

The ring R_1 is again Gorenstein, hence has a unique minimal overring R_2. Continuing this way we obtain the desired. □

Recall that the lattice L_{CM} of all CM-formulas over R is too large to be completely described. Its first derivative is manageable.

Proposition 6.3. *The diagram on Figure 4 represents the lattice L_1 of pp-formulas of the first derivative T' of the theory of Cohen–Macaulay modules over R. For instance this lattice is distributive.*

Proof. It follows from the previous section that T' is the closure, in the Ziegler spectrum, of points I_∞ and $\widetilde{R}$ of CB-rank 1. Since I_∞ is definable in $\widetilde{R}$ it follows that T' coincides with the theory of CM-modules defined over $\widetilde{R}$. Since $\widetilde{R}$ is a valuation ring, this lattice is easily calculated - see [16, Ch. 11] for a more general setting. □

On the next level of CB-analysis we obtain a finite length lattice.

Proposition 6.4. *The following diagram represents the lattice L_2 of pp-formulae of the second derivative T'' of the theory T_{CM} of Cohen–Macaulay modules over R.*



On this diagram we match simple intervals with points of the Ziegler spectrum they isolate.

Proof. From the previous section it follows that T'' is the closure of points Q and G of CB-rank 2. Because y acts as an automorphism on both modules, we conclude that y^{-1} is a definable scalar of this theory. Therefore T'' is just the theory of $S = F((y))[[x]]/(x^2)$-modules. The last ring is uniserial of length 2, hence its lattice of pp-formulas is well known. □

7. Ringel's quilt

This combinatorial object was introduced by Ringel [19] (see also [20]), under the name of the Auslander–Reiten quilt, when investigating the module category of domestic string (finite dimensional) algebras. It serves as a tool for sewing components of the AR-quiver for better understanding of morphism between modules from different AR-components. The devices used in implementing this construction are infinitely generated indecomposable pure injective modules and irreducible morphisms between them.

We will proceed in the same vein. First we need some supply of irreducible maps and almost split sequences.

Lemma 7.1. *The map $Q \xrightarrow{x} G$ is irreducible in the category of* CM*-modules.*



Figure 4.

Proof. Suppose that $f : Q \to N$ and $g : N \to G$, where N is a CM-module, are morphisms whose composition is the above map. If f is a monomorphism it splits, because Q is injective. Otherwise f increases the pp-type of $x \in Q$, hence (see Figure 3) annihilates this element. Because N is CM, it follows that $f(Qx) = 0$, hence $\ker f = Qx$, otherwise g fail to exists.

Since $Q/Qx \cong G$ via $1 \mapsto x$, we conclude that f induces the map $\bar{f} : G \to N$ such that the composition $g\bar{f} : G \to G$ sends x to x, hence is an isomorphism. It follows that g splits. □

Note that this irreducible epimorphism is included into a short exact sequence $0 \to G \to Q \xrightarrow{x} G \to 0$. However this sequence is far from being almost split: for instance the natural inclusion $G \subset \widetilde{R}$ cannot be factored through Q.

Recall that a module M over a CM-ring S is said to be *free on the punctured spectrum*, if its localization M_P is free over the localized ring S_P for each non-maximal prime ideal P. Further S is an *isolated singularity* if S_P is a regular ring for each non-maximal prime ideal P.

By Auslander's result (see [13, Thm. 13.8]) if M is a f.g. CM-module free on the punctured spectrum, then M is the sink of an AR-sequence in the category of finitely generated CM-modules. For R this applies to finite modules I_n, and it is easily seen that the corresponding AR-sequences retain their defining properties in the category of all CM-modules.

The module I_∞ is not free on the punctured spectrum: if $P = xR$, then I_∞ localized at P is the generic module G which is not free over the ring $R_P = F((y))[[x]]/x^2$. It follows from [13, Prop. 13.3] that I_∞ cannot be a sink of an AR-sequence in the category of finitely generated CM-modules.

However, it follows from another result of Auslander [2, Thm. 5] (see also [9]) that I_∞ is the sink of an AR-sequence in the category of all R-modules whose source is indecomposable and pure injective. Using an approximation of this module in the category of all CM-modules we found the following AR-sequence, now in the category of CM-modules. We suppress calculations, because the result is easily verified.

Proposition 7.2. *The following is an* AR*-sequence in the category of Cohen–Macaulay modules over R.*

$$0 \to \widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{f=\binom{y}{x}} \widetilde{R} \oplus I_\infty \xrightarrow{(x,-y)} I_\infty \to 0\,.$$

Proof. The exactness of this sequence is easily shown by inspection. Since the endomorphism ring of I_∞ is local, by [1, Prop. 4.4] it suffices to check that f is left almost split.

Suppose that $h : \widetilde{R} \to N$ is a non-split monomorphism, where N is a CM-module. In particular for $n = h(x)$ we obtain $nx = 0$.





FIGURE 5.

Observe that $f(x) = (xy, 0)$ whose pp-type p in $\widetilde{R}$ (see Figure 3) is generated by the formulas $xy^n \in I_{n-1}$, $n = 1, \ldots$.

Since $\widetilde{R}$ is pure injective and indecomposable by [14, Prop. 4.3.45] it follows that h increases the pp-type of $x \in \widetilde{R}$, hence (see Figure 3 again) the pp-type q of $h(x)$ in N contains the formula $xy \in R$. But then q contains any conjunction $(xy \in R) \wedge (xy \in I_n) = (xy^n \in I_{n-1})$, $n = 2, \ldots$, therefore $p \subseteq q$.

Since N is pure injective, there exists a morphism $u : \widetilde{R} \to N$ sending xy to $h(x)$, therefore $h - fu : \widetilde{R} \to N$ satisfies $(h - fu)(x) = 0$. Replacing h by $h - fu$ we may assume that $h(x) = 0$. Since N is CM, it follows that $h(Qx) = 0$, hence h factors through $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{x} I_\infty$, as desired. □

The following corollary is straightforward.

Corollary 7.3. *The following morphisms are irreducible in the category of all Cohen–Macaulay R-modules.*

1) $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{R}$; *2)* $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{x} I_\infty$; *and 3)* $I_\infty \xrightarrow{y} I_\infty$.

Proof. Either by projecting the above AR-sequence, or directly. □

Now we are in a position to draw - see Figure 5 - Ringel's quilt of the category of CM-modules, and the procedure is very similar to what Ringel did in [19].

Namely first we change the metric to include the AR-quiver of R (see Figure 3) into a finite region - it will be contained in the ambient triangle erected vertically on the vertex Q. Now

compactify this triangle by adjusting the copies of indecomposable pure injective CM-modules to its boarder. Namely add copies of $\widetilde{R}$ as direct limits along rays of irreducible maps. We also add the irreducible maps $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{R}$, which are the direct limits of inclusion maps $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ in the AR-quiver; and adjust Q as the direct limit of this ray between the $\widetilde{R}$ (going in the southwestern direction). Further add G as a limit of the ray of irreducible maps $I_\infty \xrightarrow{y} I_\infty$ and adjust remaining irreducible morphisms $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{x} I_\infty$ and $Q \xrightarrow{x} G$.

We use irreducible morphisms to connect the points on the boundary, hence give an orientation to sides of the triangle to glue them.



We see that topologically Ringel's quilt of R lives on the Möbius stripe. A small deficiency is the empty point on the boundary of the triangle which can be easily amended: adjust the zero module to this point.

From Ringel's quilt (see Figure 5) we get a better understanding of morphisms between finitely generated CM-modules. For instance, starting from R one can move along the southeastern line, then live the AR-component in $\widetilde{R}$ and enter the other components through I_∞. After that one could reenter the first component by embedding I_∞ in R, - the morphism that heads in the southwestern direction. This way we have completed the revolution.

Observe that $x \in \widetilde{R}$ is divisible by any power of y. Thus every morphism from $\widetilde{R}$ to a finitely generated CM-module annihilates the submodule Qx of $\widetilde{R}$, therefore factors through I_∞. Thus I_∞ is a point where $\widetilde{R}$ enters the category of finitely generated CM-modules.

There is one misleading point concerning Figure 5. The choice where the morphism $\widetilde{R} \to I_\infty$ enters the second component is completely arbitrary, but the construction becomes rigid as soon as such choice has been made. Thus saying 'a revolution' is a bit ambiguous, the corresponding walk is better represented by the following diagram.



It may be easier to grasp such walks in the following representations of Ringel's quilt - see Fugure 6, where we dashed the fundamental domain. Thus it is obtained as a quotient of the vertical strip on which a cyclic group acts by a glide-reflection. Again by adding the zero module

we will get rid of small triangular deficiencies on this diagram, but one should add maps $G \to 0$ and $0 \to I_\infty$ which are not irreducible.

8. The infinite radical

In this section we will calculate the nilpotency index of the radical of the category of finitely generated CM-modules over R.

Define the radical, written rad, of this category as a set of all morphisms $f : M \to N$ between finitely generated CM-modules such that for every indecomposable finitely generated CM-module K, any composition $K \to M \xrightarrow{f} N \to K$ is not invertible in the local ring $\mathrm{End}(K)$. Clearly rad is a 2-sided ideal in this category.

Decomposing M and N as direct sums of indecomposable modules we represent f as a finite matrix f_{ij} whose entries are morphisms between indecomposable modules. Clearly $f \in \mathrm{rad}$ iff each $f_{ij} \in \mathrm{rad}$. Thus when describing the radical is usually suffices to consider morphisms between indecomposable modules.

Furthermore, because each indecomposable finitely generated CM-module is pure injective, from [14, Prop. 4.3.45] it follows that a map $f : M \to N$ between such indecomposables belong to the radical iff it increases the pp-type of one (equivalently any) nonzero element of M.

Next we will show that there are enough irreducible morphisms in our category.

Lemma 8.1. rad *is generated by irreducible morphisms.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that every morphism $f : M \to N$ between indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules which lies in the radical belongs to the ideal generated by irreducibles. If M is a finite point I_n, $n \geq 1$, then this follows from the fact that I_n is the source of a left almost split map. Suppose that $M = I_0 = R$ and look at at $f(x)$. From Figure 3 we conclude that f factors through the irreducible morphism $R \xrightarrow{y} I_1$.

It remains to consider the case $M = I_\infty$. Observing the same figure we conclude that either f factors through the irreducible morphism $I_\infty \xrightarrow{y} I_\infty$, or f has I_n as a target. In the latter case f factors through the irreducible inclusion $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$. □

We define the *infinite powers of the radical*, rad^λ, by a transfinite induction starting from $\mathrm{rad}^1 = \mathrm{rad}$. If λ is a limit ordinal, then set $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = \cap_{\mu<\lambda} \mathrm{rad}^\mu$; for instance *the infinite radical* rad^ω equals $\cap_n \mathrm{rad}^n$. Finally if $\lambda = \mu + m$ is a successor ordinal, then define $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = (\mathrm{rad}^\mu)^{m+1}$, for instance $\mathrm{rad}^{\omega+1} = (\mathrm{rad}^\omega)^2$. The least λ such that $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = 0$ (if exists) is called *the nilpotency index* of the radical. For instance, the nilpotency index equals $\omega + 1$ if there exists a nonzero morphism in the infinite radical, but for any two such morphisms their composition equals zero.

There is a heuristic (see [22]) intuition how to use the m-dimension of the lattice L of pp-formulae to guess the nilpotency index of the radical. Namely suppose that the m-dimension of L equals $\beta + 1$, hence L_β is the last nontrivial lattice in the m-dimension analysis. If the length of L_β equals n, then one could expect $\omega\beta + n - 1$ as the value of the nilpotency index. Since in our case the m-dimension equals 2 and $n = 3$, we should get $\omega + 2$, which is confirmed below.

The following remark is straightforward.



FIGURE 6.

Remark 8.2. *Suppose that $f : M \to N$ is a morphism of indecomposable finitely generated* CM*-modules. If $f \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega$ and $mx = 0$ for $m \in M$ then $f(m) = 0$.*

Proof. Because $mx = 0$ the pp-type of m in M is visible on Figure 2. Since f belongs to the infinite radical, for each k, it can be written as a sum of products $f_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_k$ of radical maps between indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules. Since each f_i lower the pp-type of an element on the figure, we derive the desired. □

In fact the above condition characterizes maps in the infinite radical, but we prefer to be even more precise when describing such morphism between indecomposable modules.

Lemma 8.3. *1) Each morphism $I_n \to I_\infty$ and $I_\infty \to I_n$ belongs to* rad^ω.

2) A morphism $h : I_m \to I_n$ belongs to rad^ω *iff it factors through I_∞.*

3) Each nonzero endomorphism of I_∞ does not belong to rad^ω.

Proof. 1) Let $f : I_n \to I_\infty$. If $I_n \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{y}} I_{n-1} \oplus I_{n+1}$ is the left almost split map, then f factors as $f_1 + yf_2$ where $f_1 : I_{n-1} \to I_\infty$ and $f_2 : I_{n+1} \to I_\infty$, hence we could proceed by induction.

Furthermore each map $g : I_\infty \to I_m$ is given (up to a multiplicative unit) by multiplication by y^k, therefore it factors as $I_\infty \xrightarrow{y^k} I_{m+1} \subset I_m$, and proceed by induction.

2) Suppose that h belongs to the infinite radical. Recall that I_m is generated by x and y^m. From Remark 8.2 it follows that $f(xR) = 0$. Since $I_m/xR \cong I_\infty$ we obtain the desired.

The converse is easy, say, because f factors through the infinitely generated module.

3) We may assume that a nonzero endomorphism f of I_∞ is given by multiplication by y^k. For $m = x \in I_\infty$ we have $mx = 0$ but $f(m) \neq 0$, hence $f \notin \mathrm{rad}^\omega$ by Corollary 8.2. □

Now we are in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 8.4. *The nilpotency index of the radical of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay R-modules equals $\omega + 2$.*

Proof. One half of this theorem is easy. Namely let $f : R \xrightarrow{x} I_\infty$ and let g be the inclusion $I_\infty \subset R$. Then both maps belong to rad^ω by Lemma 8.3. From $gf \neq 0$ we conclude that $\mathrm{rad}^{\omega+1} = (\mathrm{rad}^\omega)^2 \neq 0$.

To prove the converse it suffices to show that any composition $M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{g} K \xrightarrow{h} D$ of maps in rad^ω between indecomposable finite generated CM-modules is zero. By Lemma 8.3 this is the case when I_∞ occurs among these modules at least twice.

Suppose that I_∞ occurs exactly once. If $M = I_\infty$ then $N = I_m$, $K = I_n$, hence the morphism $N \to K$ factors through I_∞.



Because $f \in \mathrm{rad}^\omega$, by Lemma 8.2 we conclude that $uf = 0$, hence the composite map is zero. Furthermore the proof is similar when I_∞ is positioned as N, K or D.

Finally if I_∞ does not occur in the above sequence then we conclude from the following diagram.



Namely the composite morphism from the first to the last copy of I_∞ factors through g, hence belongs to rad^ω, therefore equals zero by Lemma 8.3. □

9. Heigher dimensions

Recall that the A_∞ singularity of dimension $d \geq 1$ is defined (see [13, p. 253]) as the hypersurface $R_d = F[[x_0, \ldots, x_d]]/(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2)$. A remarkable result, the so-called Knörrer's periodicity (see [13, Sect. 8.3]), claims that the stable categories of finitely generated CM-modules over these rings are equivalent, for even, and separately for odd d's.

Suppose that one would like to describe the CM-part of the Ziegler spectrum over these rings. We will show that even for $d = 2$ this is a problem of enormous complexity. Namely after changing variables we see that R_2 is isomorphic to the ring $F[[x, y, z]/(xz)$. Furthermore the CM-condition means $\mathrm{Hom}(F, M) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F, M) = 0$.

We will consider a very particular case of this problem. Namely let T denote the closed subset of ZCM over R_2 consisting of modules annihilated by z. It is easily seen that those are exactly the Cohen–Macaulay modules over the 2-dimensional regular ring $S = F[[x, y]]$. Further S_S is the unique indecomposable finitely generated CM-module over S.

The following remark refutes a conjecture made in [10, Conj. 6.9].

Remark 9.1. *Finitely generated points are not dense in the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of* $F[[x, y]]$.

Proof. If E is the injective envelope of $S/(x + y)S$ then this module is clearly CM. It opens the interval $[v = 0, v(x + y) = 0]$, but this interval is closed on S, hence E is not in the closure of finitely generated points. □

Furthermore there is a little hope to classify all points of ZCM over S. Namely let $P = (x^3 - y^2)$ be the prime ideal and let S' be the localization S_P. Since $x, y \notin P$ these elements are invertible in S', hence act as automorphisms on any S'-module; in particular every finite generated S'-module is an (infinitely generated) CM-module over S. However S' admits as a factor ring the Drozd ring $F[[x, y]]/(x^2, xy^2, y^3)$, and this 5-dimensional algebra (see [12, p. 346]) is wild.

Thus one should put additional restrictions on non-finitely generated CM-modules over S to classify them. One natural condition would be the Hochster-like $M \neq M\mathbf{m}$. However we do not know the answer to the following question.

Question 9.2. *Does there exist a non-finitely generated indecomposable pure injective Cohen–Macaulay module* M *over the ring* $F[[x, y]]$ *such that* $M \neq M\mathbf{m}$*?*

It seems to be more natural to force finitely generated points to be dense, therefore consider the closure, in the Ziegler spectrum, of finitely generated CM-modules.

Conjecture 9.3. *Let R_d, $d \geq 1$ be the d-dimensional A_∞-singularity over an algebraically closed field F and let T_{CM} denote the theory of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules over this ring. Then the Cantor–Bendixson rank of T_{CM} equals $2d$, and the same is the value of the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the definable category generated by finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay R_d-modules.*

The intuition behind this conjecture is the following. When d increases, the stable part of this category remains 'the same', but for the projective part of this category we should add an extra 2 for each addition dimension.

References

[1] M. Auslander, Functors and morphisms determined by objects, pp. 1–112 in: Representation Theory of Algebras, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 37, 1978.

[2] M. Auslander, A survey on existence theorems for almost split sequences, pp. 81–89 in: Representations of Algebras, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, Vol. 116, Cambridge University Press, 1986.

[3] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, Math. Z., 82 (1963), 8–28.

[4] W. Brungs, J. Herzog, Cohen–Macaulay Rings, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[5] R.O. Buchweitz, G.M. Greuel, F.O. Schreyer, Cohen–Macaulay modules over hypersurface singularities II, Invent. Math., 88 (1987), 165–182.

[6] I. Burban, W. Gnedin, Cohen–Macaulay modules over some non-reduced curve singularities, arXiv: 1301.3305v1.

[7] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150, Springer, 1994.

[8] I. M. Gelfand, V. A. Ponomarev, Indecomposable representations of the Lorenz group, Russian Math. Surveys 23 (1968), 1–58.

[9] I. Herzog, The Auslander–Reiten translate, pp. 153–165 in: Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 130, 1992.

[10] I. Herzog, V. Puninskaya, The model theory of divisible modules over a domain, Fund. Applied Math., 2(2) (1996), 563–594.

[11] C.U. Jensen, H. Lenzing, Model-theoretic Algebra with Particular Emphasis on Fields, Rings, Modules, Algebra, Logic and Applications, Vol. 2, Gordon and Breach, 1989.

[12] L. Klingler, L.S. Levy, Representation type of commutative rings I: Local wildness, Pacific J. Math., 200 (2001), 345–386.

[13] G.J. Leuschke, R. Wiegandt, Cohen–Macalay Representations, AMS Mathematicas Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 181, 2012.

[14] M. Prest, Purity, Spectra and Localization, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 121, Cambridge Univesity Press, 2009.

[15] M. Prest, G. Puninski, One-directed indecomposable pure injective modules over string algebras, Colloq. Math., 101 (2004), 89–112.

[16] G. Puninski, Serial Rings, Kluwer, 2001.

[17] C.M. Ringel, The indecomposable representation of the 2-dihedral groups, Math. Ann., 214 (1975), 19–34.

[18] C.M. Ringel, Tame algebas (On algorithm of solving vector space problems. II), pp. 137–287 in: Proc. Ottawa Conference in Representation Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 831, 1980.

[19] C.M. Ringel, Infinite length modules. Some examples as introduction, pp. 1–73 in: Infinite Length Modules, eds. H. Krause and C.M. Ringel, Birhäuser, 2000.

[20] C.M. Ringel, The minimal representation-infinite algebras which are special biserial, pp. 501–560 in: Representations of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[21] F.O. Schreyer, Finite and countable CM-representation type, pp. 9–34 in: Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1273, Springer, 1987.

[22] J. Schröer, On the infinite radical of the module category, Proc. London Math. Soc., 81 (2000), 651–674.

[23] Y. Yoshino, Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Rings, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[24] M. Ziegler, Model theory of modules, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 26 (1984), 149–213.

(G. Puninski) Belarusian State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, av. Nezalezhnosti 4, Minsk 220030, Belarus

E-mail address: punins@mail.ru